LIFE E-VIA

“Electric Vehicle noIse control by Assessment and optimisation of tyre/road interaction”

LIFE18 ENV/IT/000201

Deliverable	D6 – B1 Report
Content	Technical Report on Action B1
Action/Sub-action	Action B1
Status - date	Final Version- 31-03-2021

Authors	Filippo G. Praticò (UNIRC)
Beneficiary	University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria (UNIRC)
Contact person	Filippo G. Praticò
E-mail	filippo.pratico@unirc.it
Project Website	https://life-evia.eu/

List of keywords and abbreviations

AR	Asphalt Rubber DPAC Double-layer Porous Asphalt Concrete
CPX	Close Proximity Index
DGAC	Dense Graded Asphalt Concrete
HRA	Hot Rolled Asphalt
ISO	ISO 10844 reference surface.
PA	Porous Asphalt
PLSD	Paver-Laid Surfacing Dressing
PMB	polymer-modified bitumen
SMA-LA	Split Mastic Asphalt
TAL	Thin Asphalt Layer
TLPA	Twin Layer Porous Asphalt
AC	Asphalt Concrete
ACFC	Asphalt Concrete Friction Course
ARFC	Asphalt Rubber Friction Course
AV	Air-void Content
b	Binder Percentage
BPN	British Pendulum Number
BWC	Bonded Wearing Course
CB	Controlled Pass-By Method
CPX	Close Proximity Method
CRMB	Crumb Rubber Bitumen Modified
DAC	Dense Asphalt Concrete
E	Dynamic Modulus
ELT	End Life Tires
CR	Crumb Rubber
ENDt	Estimated Noise Difference Due to Texture
ERNL	Estimated Road Noise Level
FC	Friction Course (PA)
GAP	Gap Graded
GAR	GAP with crumb rubber
GG	Gap Graded
HMA	Hot Mix Asphalt OGAC Open Graded Asphalt Concrete
HRA	Hot Rolled Asphalt
k	In-lab permeability
LOA 5D	Lärmoptimierter Asphalt (noise reducing asphalt for surface layer)
MPD	Mean Profile Depth
$NMAS_{90}$	Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size
OG	Open Graded
OGAR	Open Graded Asphalt Rubber
OGFC-AR	OGFC+ Asphalt Rubber
OGFC-SBS	OGFC+ Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene
OGR	OG with crumb rubber
PAC	Porous Asphalt Concrete
P-ACFC	Porous- Asphalt Concrete Friction Course
PEM	Porous European Mic
PERS	Poro-elastic Road Surface
PMFC	Polymer Modified Friction Course
RAC	Rubberized Asphalt Concrete
RAC(G)	Rubberized Asphalt Concrete, Gap Graded
RAC(O)	Rubberized Asphalt Concrete, Open
RAC-O	Rubber Asphalt Concrete-Open

Ref.	Reference
SLPA	Single Layer Porous Asphalt
SM	Stone Mastic Asphalt
SMA	Stone Mastic Asphalt
SPB	Statistical Pass-By Method
SUP	Superpave
TL	Thin Layer
TPA	Two-layers Porous Asphalt
UTLAC	Ultra-Thin Layer Asphalt Concrete
VTAC	Very Thin Asphalt Concrete

Table of contents

Tables

Figures

Executive Summary

Tracks design (B1) makes a contribution to the majority of project objectives. This action aims at targeting a durable reduction of noise (objectives 1 and 7). Importantly, because material and technology choices imply different environmental impact, this action greatly impacts the sustainability and, consequently, it affects objective 6. Furthermore, the design of the bituminous mixtures is going to affect how much the pavement will affect noise reduction compared to tyre and vehicle influence (objectives 2 and 3).

Results, including the actual composition of the mixes, are going to be used to contribute to regional policies and to the editing of the guidelines as per objective 3. Importantly, the track design is going to affect how dwellers are going to perceive the new quiet environment (objective 5). Ultimately, the quieter streets will give the population the emerging importance to human health of time spent in quiet environments.

The action B1:

i) benefits from actions A1, A2, A3;
ii) gives the instructions for B2 prototype;
iii) uses B2 results;
iv) gives the instructions for the pilot area in Florence (B3). Consequently, it basically starts after the actions Ai and ends before B3.

UNIRC delivers two internal reports (B12- and B15-related, cf. Table on page 9), whose contents are below detailed.

The main sub-actions consist in:

- B1.1 Data gathering (from A1, A2, A3 and B2.1).
- B1.2 Preliminary design of the mixtures (Before B2). At the end of B1.2, UNIRC delivers the first internal report. B1.2 gives the required pieces of information for B2. By means of B1.1 and B1.2, B2.2 to B2.4 are carried out and led by IFSTTAR.
- B1.3 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to Nantes prototype (during and after B2).
- B.1.4 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests (during and after B2).
- B1.5 Final design and support to track construction (during and after B2, and before B3). At the end of B1.5, the second internal report is delivered. By means of B1.5, B3 is carried out and led by FI.

For details about the sub-actions and what has been done by UNIRC, c.f. Table 1 ‘Action B1: project versus activities’.

LIFE18 ENV/IT/000201 - C1b

B1's PROJECT DELIVERABLE PRODUCTS

Deliverable name	Deadline
B1 Report	03/2021

1 Action B1: scheduled versus done

1.1 Scheduled activities and sub-actions.

Main sub actions/milestones/deliverables	Main internal actions carried out and main draft Documents edited
Sub-action B1.1 - Data gathering	Carried out.
Sub-action B1.2 - Preliminary design of the mixture	Report_B1_LIFE_UNIRC_excerpt draft July 28; for Julien August 27 2020 B1 life
Sub-action B1.3 - Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to Nantes prototype	D44.20.REZE.056 - Université EIFFEL - piste référence 2020 09 08 - suivi BBTM6 poudrette; D44.20.REZE.056 - Université EIFFEL - piste référence 2020 09 08 - suivi BBTM6; LIFE E-VIA_202103151_B2_action_UGE_Cesbron_OneDrive_internal_version
Sub-action B1.4 - Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests	Data were received. Data are located on a google drive folder “Per Julien”.
Sub-action B1.5 - Final design and support to track construction	Report_B1_LIFE_UNIRC_26_11_2020_F; Life E-Via B1 for B3 27 04 2021
Milestone name B1 Tracks design. Deadline: [01/2021]	Life E-ViaB1forB3 -2nd internal report B15 16.11.2020
Deliverable name: B1 Report. Deadline [03/2021]	Draft Based on Report_B1_LIFE_UNIRC_26_11_2020_F +Life E-Via B1 for B3 27 04 2021 +for Julien August 27 2020 B1 life

1.2 Compliance of B1 activities with project submission

ACTION B1: Tracks design. B1 aims at selecting mixtures (volumetrics, materials, and surface texture), for the tracks to be constructed in France and Italy, in order to minimize noise from EV, taking into account the synergy with actions B2 [UNIRC].

Deliverables: UNIRC delivers two internal reports, whose contents are below detailed

Scheduling: This action i) benefits from actions A1, A2, A3; ii) gives the instructions for B2 prototype; iii) uses B2 results; iv) gives the instructions for the pilot area in Florence (B3). Consequently, it basically starts after the actions Ai and ends before B3.

B1 Scheduled	**B1 Done**
B1.1 Data gathering (from A1, A2, A3 and B2.1). **Sub-action B1.1**. B1.1 deals with data gathering. UNIRC needs data and observations and benefits from the technical reports of the actions A1 (IFSTTAR), A2 (same UNIRC), A3 (CRD=CONTI). In more details, IFSTTAR provides UNIRC with B2 data: road surface properties and layer thickness of the existing test sections in Nantes, acoustical properties of EVs on existing tracks (cf. B2.1) and details about tyre-related data (cf. B2.4).	B.1 aims at selecting mixtures (materials, and surface texture), for the tracks to be constructed in France and Italy, in order to minimize noise from EV, taking into account the synergy with actions B.2. This action i) benefits from actions A.1, A.2, A.3; ii) gives the instructions for B.2 prototype; iii) uses B.2 results; iv) gives the instructions for the pilot area in Florence (B.3). Consequently, it basically starts after the actions Ai and ends before B.3. **B1.1 Data gathering** was carried out but there are delays due to the pandemic (tests on Nantes proving ground).
B1.2 Preliminary design of the mixtures (Before B2). At the end of B1.2, UNIRC delivers the first internal report. B1.2 gives the required pieces of information for B2. By means of B1.1 and B1.2, B2.2 to B2.4 are carried out and led by IFSTTAR. **Sub-action B1.2** refers to the preliminary design of the mixtures. At the end of B1.2, UNIRC delivers the first internal report and IFSTTAR/IPOOL carry out the tests in B2. As above-mentioned, overall, B1 aims at selecting mixtures (volumetrics, materials, and surface texture), for France (small-scale test-track) and Italy, in order to minimize noise from EV, taking into account the synergy with actions B2/3. It is important to highlight that this action focuses on pavement and friction course design. Apart from the issues above, the primary goal is to comply with LCPX targets (87 and 90 dBA at 50km/h). The idea is to start from the existing/ongoing projects (e.g., Nereide), from the literature, and from the considerations above. In more detail, the tentative pillars of the two new mixtures (friction courses) are the following: 1) Increase the percentage of the crumb rubber used to modify the bitumen (from 20%, by weight of bitumen, to about 25%), enhancing its mechanistic and rheological properties. 2) Increase the percentage of the crumb rubber used to substitute aggregates (from 1-3% to 4%), therefore reducing its mechanical	See Preliminary design Based on data gathering (B1.1 - data gathering initially from A1, A2, and A3), **in B1.2**, two types of mixtures (with and without crumb rubber, nominal maximum size of about 6mm) were designed and partly validated through experiments. At the end of B1.2, **<u>UNIRC delivered the first internal report (July-August, 2020),</u>** used by IFSTTAR (B2), now University of G. Eiffel, UGE, to construct the proving ground in Nantes, France.

B1 Scheduled	**B1 Done**
impedance and noise generation; 3) Don't use viscosity-reducing additives. 4) Face CR swelling-related issues through the green pre-treatment of CRs (Astolfi et al, 2019). 5) Reduce the nominal maximum aggregate size in order to better pursue structural and acoustic benefits (from about 8mm to ISO 7.1 mm). As abovementioned, this process entails delivering a report in which, for the selected mixtures and tracks, the following pieces of information are included: Mixtures. gradations and types of aggregates (including the filler), types and quantities of crumb rubber, types and quantities of asphalt binder, types and quantities of further components, process-related recommendations (temperatures, times, and prescriptions, pre-treatment), including, if needed, recommendations about laydown, compaction and curing. Tentative design of the pavement (based on data provided by IFSTTAR and FI). Overall organisation and scheduling of mixture production and lay down. This report is produced by UNIRC, and uses data preliminarily provided by FI (layers behind the new friction course, geometry of tracks, updated information about traffic (AADT, traffic spectrum), by CRD (through IFSTTAR and CRD Report A3), and by IFSTTAR (layers in the full-scale proving ground). Based on this report, IFSTTAR is going to carry out action B2 (on the new tests).	
B1.3 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to Nantes prototype (during and after B2). **The Sub-action B1.3** deals with data gathering from IFSTTAR (during and after B2): response (also acoustic) of the mixtures designed by UNIRC in B1.2 (feedback). As a consequence of the sub-actions B1.3 and B1.4, UNIRC has the needed "response" data and is able to carry out the final design of the mixtures.	B1.3 refers to data gathering from IFSTTAR/UGE that refer to Nantes prototype (during and after B2).
B.1.4 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests (during and after B2). **The Sub-action B.1.4** deals with data gathering from IPOOL (during and after B4). These data will be useful in the pursuit of better carrying out the final design of the mixtures to be used in Florence-Via Paisiello.	B.1.4 refers to data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests (during and after B2).

B1 Scheduled	B1 Done
B1.5 Final design and support to track construction (during and after B2, and before B3). At the end of B1.5, the second internal report is delivered. By means of B1.5, B3 is carried out and led by FI. **The Sub-action B1.5** addresses the final design and support in the pursuit of track construction (B3). At the end of this activity, the second internal report is delivered to FI. As a result, in B3, Fi is going to take care of bid-related documents (technical and administrative), work management, and related managers and procedures according to the Italian laws. it is noted that UNIRC, besides the data gathered B.3 and B1.4, needs also updated data from FI. To this end, FI provides UNIRC with the updated characteristics of the selected road (Via Paisiello, Firenze): traffic data for the selected road, geometric data, lane and shoulder widths, etc.). To this end, it is noted that the selection of the tracks in Florence has been carried out in order to better achieve the primary objectives of the project. To this end, note that the following factors are going to affect the final results in terms of performance and perceived noise: 1) modification of the traffic spectrum and loads due to the increase in EVs. An increase of 15-35% of weight is expected; 2) changes in terms of driving force-to-speed diagram, accelerations and, probably, speeds due to the same reasons above (an increase of accelerations is expected); 3) changes in terms of shear stresses, tyre wear, pavement wear (an increase of surface texture wear and tyre wear is expected); 4) consequent change of pavement (and particularly, friction course) expected life.	**B1.5 refers** to the final design and support to track construction (during and after B2, and before B3). By means of B1.5, B3 is carried out and led by FI. As a part of B1.5 (Final design and support to track construction -during and after B2, and before B3), UNIRC started to draft the second internal report (B15 for B3), where it was expected to receive the pertaining information from the other partners including the ones that were supposed to test the proving ground in Nantes. Due to the pandemic, this news are still to come, but in the meanwhile, the exchange of information between UNIRC and FI, aiming at B3 construction, received a great impulse. **In October-November, 2020, the first draft of the second internal report has been sent by UNIRC to FI**, in order to start the bid process. The level of details was modified due to the fact that the tests from Nantes were delayed by the pandemic. In March-April 2021, the file "Life E-Via B1 for B3 27 04 2021" was sent to Florence municipality.

B1 Scheduled	B1 Done
Final Note. Tracks design (B1) makes a contribution to the majority of project objectives stated above. Track design aims at targeting a durable reduction of noise (objectives 1 and 7). Importantly, because material and technology choices imply different environmental impact, this action greatly impacts the sustainability and, consequently, it affects objective 6. Furthermore, the design of the bituminous mixtures is going to affect how much the pavement will affect noise reduction compared to tyre and vehicle influence (objectives 2 and 3). Results, including the actual composition of the mixes, are going to be used to contribute to regional policies and to the editing of the guidelines as per objective 3. Importantly, the track design is going to affect how dwellers are going to perceive the new quiet environment (objective 5). Ultimately, the quieter streets will give the population the emerging importance to human health of time spent in quiet environments.	The first impressions are quite positive especially from an acoustic standpoint. The transition from Nantes tests to Florence implementation should be better supported by more results coming from Nantes, hopefully in the next months. It may be observed that the same design (UNIRC) and construction (UGE) of the test track in Nantes are a good starting point especially when considering that in the meanwhile Europe underwent the first and the second wave of the Covid 19 pandemic.

Table 1. Action B1: project versus activities

2 Activities carried out

2.1 B11 Data gathering

This action i) benefits from actions A.1, A.2, A.3; ii) gives the instructions for B.2 prototype; iii) uses B.2 results; iv) gives the instructions for the pilot area in Florence (B.3). Consequently, it basically starts after the actions Ai and ends before B.3.

2.2 B12 Preliminary design of the mixture

2.2.1 In-lab plan of experiments

Figure 1 summarises the plan of experiments carried out during the preliminary design of the mixture. These involved:

- Experiment on a given mixture without crumb rubber - Solution 1
- Experiments on a crumb rubber-added mixture - Solution 2



Figure 1. Plan of experiments

2.2.2 Experiments carried out in B12

In practise in B12 UNIRC focused on two solutions, namely, **AC6d_0%_PFU** (without crumb rubber) and **AC6d_5%_PFU** (with crumb rubber).



Figure 2. Example of CR gradation

2.2.2.1 Solution 1

AC6d



Figure 3. Overall aggregate gradation (experiments with CR=0%)

AC6d+5% PFU



Figure 4. Overall aggregate gradation (experiments with CR=5%)

Sample	%bitumen by aggregates (50/70)	Mass [g]
AC6d_0 % PFU	6.3	2038.55
AC6d_5 % PFU	6.6	1905.2

Figure 5. Main components

2.2.3 Superpave gyratory

A gyratory compactor ‘Rainhart’ (EN 12697-31:2019) has been used for the samples production, as illustrated in Figure 6. The characteristics of the gyratory-compacted samples are reported in Table 2.

Figure 6. Gyratory-compacted samples

Specimen	**AC6d_1_0%_PFU**	**AC6d_2_5% PFU**
Weight [g]	2046.23	1906.39
Thickness 1 [cm]	11.47	13.2
Thickness 2 [cm]	11.48	13.27
Thickness 3 [cm]	11.48	13.34
Thickness 4 [cm]	11.48	13.45
Thickness [cm]	**11.48**	**13.32**
Diameter 1 [cm]	9.75	9.77
Diameter 2 [cm]	9.75	9.75

Diameter [cm]	**9.75**	**9.76**
$G_{mb,dim}$ [g/cm^3]	2.39	1.91
Thickness t_0 [cm]	11.49	11.49
Thickness (1day) [cm]	11.48	13.32
Weight t_0 [g]	2038.55	1905.2
Weight (1day) [g]	2046.23	1906.39

Table 2. Specimens characteristics



Figure 7. Compaction curves (experiments)

Sample	Gyration	Thickness(mm)	Angle	Pressure (KPa)	h_i-h_{i-1} [mm]	$G_{mb,dim}$	AV	%G_{mm}
AC6d_0%_PFU	1	131.9	1.15	596.1	-	2.07	17.16%	82.84
	10	129.98	1.13	599.57	-1.92	2.10	16%	84.06
	20	128.35	1.13	599.57	-1.63	2.13	15%	85.13
	30	127.21	1.12	600.15	-1.14	2.15	14%	85.89
	40	126.13	1.11	598.71	-1.08	2.16	13%	86.63
	50	125.11	1.11	600.73	-1.02	2.18	13%	87.34
	62	124.38	1.11	600.44	-0.73	2.20	12%	87.85

Sample	Gyration	Thickness(mm)	Angle	Pressure (KPa)	h_i-h_{i-1} [mm]	$G_{mb,dim}$	AV	%G_{mm}
AC6d_5%_PFU	1	131.66	1.05	596.68	-	1.94	18%	82.26

	10	123.61	1.03	601.31	-0.65	2.06	12%	87.62
	20	120.42	1.02	602.47	-0.24	2.12	10%	89.94
	30	118.49	1.02	602.18	-0.3	2.15	9%	91.40
	40	117.59	1.02	602.47	0	2.17	8%	92.10
	50	116.63	1.02	602.76	-0.18	2.19	7%	92.86
	60	116.09	1.02	601.31	0	2.20	7%	93.29
	70	115.55	1.03	601.31	0.12	2.21	6%	93.73
	80	114.89	1.03	601.89	-0.24	2.22	6%	94.27

Table 3. Compaction parameters

2.2.4 Airflow resistance

The airflow resistance was measured using the apparatus Norsonic Nor 1517 A, by applying the alternating airflow method (Method B) in accordance with UNI EN ISO 9053-1:2019 Acoustics - Determination of airflow resistance - Part 1: Static airflow method [18]. The results are reported in Table 3.

Figure 8. Resistivity (samples)



Figure 9. Resistivity tests

AC6d_0%_PFU_Bottom					
Measure	**Leq(dB)**	**Leq(EU)**	**Rs (Pa*s/m)**	**R (Pa*s/m^3)**	**r (Pa*s/m^2)**
1	194.3	103760.0	103760.0	13897314.4	904029.7
2	194.4	104961.5	104961.5	14058237.7	914497.9
3	194.4	104961.5	104961.5	14058237.7	914497.9
4	194.4	104961.5	104961.5	14058237.7	914497.9
5	194.3	103760.0	103760.0	13897314.4	904029.7
Average	**194.4**	**104480.9**	**104480.9**	**13993868.4**	**910310.6**

AC6d_0%_PFU_Top					
Measure	**Leq(dB)**	**Leq(EU)**	**Rs (Pa*s/m)**	**R (Pa*s/m^3)**	**r (Pa*s/m^2)**
1	194.2	102572.3	102572.3	13738233.2	893681.3
2	194.3	103760.0	103760.0	13897314.4	904029.7
3	194.3	103760.0	103760.0	13897314.4	904029.7
4	194.2	102572.3	102572.3	13738233.2	893681.3
5	194.3	103760.0	103760.0	13897314.4	904029.7
Average	**194.3**	**103284.9**	**103284.9**	**13833681.9**	**899890.4**

AC6d_5%_PFU_Bottom					
Measure	**Leq(dB)**	**Leq(EU)**	**Rs (Pa*s/m)**	**R (Pa*s/m^3)**	**r (Pa*s/m^2)**
1	180.4	20942.6	20942.6	2799242.2	157285.5
2	180.5	21185.1	21185.1	2831655.9	159106.8
3	180.6	21430.4	21430.4	2864444.9	160949.2
4	180.5	21185.1	21185.1	2831655.9	159106.8
5	180.6	21430.4	21430.4	2864444.9	160949.2
Average	**180.5**	**21234.7**	**21234.7**	**2838288.8**	**159479.5**

AC6d_5%_PFU_Top					
Measure	**Leq(dB)**	**Leq(EU)**	**Rs (Pa*s/m)**	**R (Pa*s/m^3)**	**r (Pa*s/m^2)**
1	163.0	2825.1	2825.1	377607.4	21217.2
2	163.2	2890.9	2890.9	386403.0	21711.4
3	163	2825.1	2825.1	377607.4	21217.2
4	163.1	2857.8	2857.8	381979.9	21462.9
5	163.1	2857.8	2857.8	381979.9	21462.9
Average	**163.1**	**2851.3**	**2851.3**	**381115.5**	**21414.4**

Table 4. Airflow resistance (results)

Where:

EU=Pa*s/m (EU is the engineering unit; for this application is Pa·s/m)

Rs=specific airflow resistance (R_s is the observed resistance normalised to an area for the specimen of 1 m^2)

R=airflow resistance (R is the non-normalized value of R_s. It may be computed by division with the area for testing A)

r=airflow resistivity (r is the specific airflow resistance per unit length r=R_s/d)

2.2.5 Acoustic absorption

Acoustics - Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedances tubes - Transfer-function method [20].

Figure 10. Kundt tube



Figure 11. Acoustic absorption

2.2.5.1 Inverse Problem

Praticò, Vizzari, Fedele [19].

Sample	Airflow Resistivity	Inverse Problem				
	r (Pa*s/m2)	S (cm)	Ω (%)	q^2	r(Pa*s/m2)	err.
AC6d_0 % PFU_ Bottom	910,311	11.48	4.44%	5.00	910,310	1.61E-08
AC6d_0 % PFU_ Top	899,890	11.48	4.44%	5.00	899,890	1.64E-08
AC6d_5 % PFU_ Bottom	159,480	13.32	18.3%	9.99	199,380	7.20E-05
AC6d_5 % PFU_ Top	21,414	13.32	18.26%	3.89	43,100	8.13E-07

Table 5. Inverse problem

Table 6 refers to the values of resistivity that were measured and to the corresponding data obtaining based on inverse problem solution. Note that for porosity, the corelok data were used.

Sample	Airflow Resistivity	Inverse Problem				
	r (Pa*s/m2)	S (cm)	Ω (%)	q^2	r(Pa*s/m2)	err.
AC6d_0 % PFU_ Bottom	910,311	11.48	1.28%	5.00	910,310	3.58E-12
AC6d_0 % PFU_ Top	899,890	11.48	1.28%	5.00	899,890	3.64E-12
AC6d_5 % PFU_ Bottom	159,480	13.32	13.86%	9.76	190,090	2.43E-06
AC6d_5 % PFU_ Top	21,414	13.32	13.86%	2.88	46,010	2.19E-04

Table 6. Air voids



Figure 12. Acoustic absorption spectra



Figure 13. Acoustic absorption spectra

2.2.6 Mechanical Impedance

Figure 14. Mechanical impedance (device)

2.2.7 Corelok

ASTM D6752 - 02 Standard Test Method for Bulk Specific Gravity and Density of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures Using Automatic Vacuum Sealing Method [21].



Figure 15. Corelok measurements

Sample ID	Bag Weight (g)	Dry Sample Wt. (g)	Sealed Wt. In Water (g)	Sample Wt. After Cutting the Bag (g)	Bulk Specific Gravity	Sample Maximum Gravity	Percent Porosity (%)
AC6d1_0% PFU	26.45	2398.3	1178.18	1198.72	1.979	2.004	1.24
AC6d1_5% PFU	26.71	1906.26	935.68	1074.17	1.980	2.299	13.86

Table 7. Corelok (results)

2.2.8 Skid test

EN 13036-4:2011. Road and airfield surface characteristics - Test methods - Part 4: Method for measurement of slip/skid resistance of a surface: The pendulum test [22].

C.N.R. B.U. n. 105 (15/03//85) Norme per la misura delle caratteristiche superficiali delle pavimentazioni. Metodo di prova per la misura della resistenza di attrito radente con l'apparecchio portatile a pendolo [23].








Figure 16. British pendulum

Note: procedure from REFERENCE

Sample	Slide length [mm]	Measured values					Average of the Last 3 measurements	T (°C)	Temperature correction (CNR)	Average values	**Average values reported to the slide length: 125 mm**
AC6d1_0% PFU	62.5	30	29	30	30	29	30	18.7	1	31	**66**
AC6d1_5% PFU	62.5	26	25	30	30	30	30	18.4	1	31	**66**

Table 8. Skid tester (results)

Note that the skid test was conducted on cylindrical specimens. The value of PTV obtained when using a length 62.5 mm is related to in-situ tests [24].

2.2.9 Permeability Test

Figure 17. Permeability test

2.2.10 MTD sand patch Test



Figure 18. Sand patch test

2.2.11 Marshal Stability



Figure 19. Marshall stability

2.2.12 B1.2 Preliminary design results

Two types of mixtures were designed and partly validated through experiments. Details are given in annex B1-Annex.

2.2.13 B2: lesson learned to date and how they impact track design

This section refers to the outputs deriving from action B2. Note that B2 and A2 overlap for 9 months.

2.3 B13 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to Nantes prototype

B1.3 refers to data gathering from IFSTTAR/UGE that refer to Nantes prototype (during and after B2).

2.4 B14 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests

B.1.4 refers to data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests (during and after B2).

2.5 B15 Final design and support to track construction

2.5.1 Conclusions (scientific and practical bases to design the tracks)

The file "Life E-Via B1 for B3 27 04 2021" that was sent by UNIRC to Florence municipality includes the conclusions of B1: two mixes are going to be laid down in Florence. The first is the one that is traditionally used as a friction course in Florence. The second is the result of this project and contains about 2% of crumb rubber (pre-treated composite). The nominal maximum aggregate size is around 6mm.

2.6 B1 Annex-Preliminary design (first internal report)-B12-based

This part is the output of B12.

2.6.1 Mixture type 1

2.6.1.1 Bitumen

An asphalt binder type 50/70 is foreseen (different types could be selected based on Nantes weather).

Parameter	*Standard*	*Unit of measure*	*Type* A
Penetration at 25°C	EN1426, CNR24/71	dmm	50-70
Softening point	EN1427, CNR35/73	°C	≥ 65
Breaking point (Fraass)	EN 12593 CNR43 /74	°C	≤ - 15
Dynamic viscosity at 160°C, γ =10s^{-1}	PrEN 13072-2	Pa•s	≥ 0,4
Elastic recovery at 25 °C	EN 13398	%	≥ 75%
Storage stability 3days at 180°C- softening point variation	EN 13399	°C	≤ 0,5
After RTFOT	EN12607-1		
Volatility	CNR54/77 or equivalent	%	≤ 0,8
Residual Penetration at 25°C	EN1426, CNR24/71	%	≥ 60
Increase in softening	EN1427, CNR35/73	°C	≤ 5

Table 9. Bitumen quality

Additives. In case of addition of additives (including fibres), please note that the performance specified below remain as a requirement.

2.6.1.2 Aggregates

Coarse aggregate (retained to 5mm sieve) must comply with the requirements below.

Retained at 5mm round sieve (UNI n. 5)			
Parameter	*Standard*	*Unit of measure*	*Threshold*
Los Angeles	CNR 34/73- UNI EN 1097-2	*%*	≤ 20
Micro Deval	CNR 109/85- UNI EN 1097-1	*%*	≤ 15
Crushed and broken surfaces	UNI EN 933-5	*%*	100
Maximum size of aggregates	CNR 23/71 - UNI EN 933-1	*mm*	20
Freezing and thawing cycles.	CNR 80/80 – UNI EN 1367-1	*%*	≤ 30
Boiling water stripping test	CNR 138/92 - UNI EN 12697-11:	*%*	0

Passing to 0.075mm	CNR 75/80 - UNI EN 933-1	%	≤ 1
Shap coefficient	CNR 95/84- UNI EN 933-4		≤ 3
Aggregate flakiness	CNR 95/84- UNI EN 933-3		≤ 1,58
Flakiness index	CNR 95/84 - UNI EN 933-3	%	≤ 20
Porosity	CNR 65/78 - UNI EN 12697-8	%	≤ 1,5
Polishing stone value	CNR 140/92- EN 1097-8	%	≥ 45

Table 10. Coarse aggregate

Fine aggregate (passing to the 5mm sieve) must comply with the requirements below.

Fine aggregates (passing to the round sieve n.5mm, UNI n. 5)			
Quality indicators			
Parameter	*Standard*	*Unit of measure*	*Threshold*
Sand equivalent	CNR 27/72 - EN 933-8	%	≥ 80
Passing percentage at 0.075mm	CNR 75/80 - UNI EN 933-1	%	≤ 2
Percentage of crushed and broken surfaces in coarse aggregate particles	CNR 109/85 – UNI EN 933-5	%	100

Table 11. Fine aggregate

Filler (passing to 0.075mm sieve) must comply with the requirements below.

Filler (lower than 0.075mm)			
Parameter	*Standard*	*Unit of measure*	*Threshold*
Boiling water stripping test	CNR 138/92 – UNI EN 12697-11	%	≤ 5
Passing percentage at 0.18 mm	CNR 23/71- UNI EN 933-1	%	100
Passing percentage at 0.075 mm	CNR 75/80 – UNI EN 933-1	%	≥ 80
Plasticity index	CNR-UNI 10014- ASTM D4318		N.P.
Rigden voids - voids of dry compacted filler	CNR 123/88- EN 1097-4	%	30-45
Stiffening Power (filler/bitumen = 1.5)	CNR 122/88- EN 13179-1	ΔPA	≥ 5

Table 12. Filler

Before on-site construction works, the contractor must produce aggregate qualification certificates complying with requirements.

Aggregate gradation and bitumen percentage must comply with the requirements below. The expected air void percentage is **about 5%.**

Sieve	**% passing**	**Range**
mm	%	±
8	100	0
5.6	92	3
4	80	5
2	58	5
1	35	5
0.5	24	5
0.25	18	3
0.063	10	2
%b (by aggregate weight)	**4.9**	**0.4**

Table 13. aggregate gradation and bitumen percentage

Nota Bene. <u>This solution does not include crumb rubber (CR=0%).</u>

2.6.1.3 Bituminous mixture

Bitumen percentage is going to be assessed based on the study of bituminous mixture. Volumetric method or Marshall method may be used. The thickness of the compacted mixture will be about 0.025m. Requirements are given in the table below. The selected mixture should be tested (at 98% of D_G) foe modulus or similar properties foe better on-site quality control and assurance.

Test parameters	*Unit of measure*	*Thresholds*
Rotation Angle		1.25° ± 0.02
Rotation speed	r/min	30
Vertical pressure	KPa	600
Sample diameter	mm	150
Voids at 10 gyrations	**%**	**9-18**
Voids at 120 gyrations	**%**	**4-9**
Voids at 210 gyrations	**%**	**≥ 2**
Indirect tensile strength at 25°C (@120 gyrations)[1] (EN 12697-23)	N/mm^2	> 0,4
Coefficient of indirect tensile strength[2] at 25 °C (@120 gyrations)	N/mm^2	> 30
Loss of indirect tensile strength at 25°C after 15 days of water immersion	%	≤ 25

Table 14. Gyratory compaction and design

1 Coefficient if indirect tensile strength, CTI

CTI = $\pi/2$ DRt/Dc

where

D = sample diameter, mm

Dc = deformation at the breaking point

Rt = resistance

Test parameters	*Unit of measure*	*Thresholds*
Compaction	75 drops per face	
Marshall stability	KN	>5
Marshall stiffness	KN/mm	> 2,0
Residual voids (∗)	**%**	**3-6**
Loss of Marshall stability after 15 days of water immersion	%	≤25
Indirect tensile strength at 25°C (EN 12697-23)	N/mm^2	>0,4
Coefficient of indirect tensile strength[2] at 25 °C	N/mm^2	>30

Table 15. Marshall compaction and design

Sample density (or specific gravity, Gmb) must be determined according to Corelok method or according to paraffin-coated method.

2.6.1.4 Bituminous mixture type 2

This mixture is going to comply with the above with the following differences.

This mixture is going to include mineral aggregates, crumb rubber (dry method) and bitumen.

The gradation must comply with the one mentioned above (i.e., without rubber).

Bitumen percentage is given below as a function of **total mixture weight (i.e., mineral aggregates+bitumen+CR)**.

mm	%	±
8	See table above	
5.6		
4		
2		
1		
0.5		
0.25		
0.063		
%b (ref. tot)	**4.5**	**0.4**

Table 16. Gradation and bitumen percentage

Crumb rubber type: RARX

Note. The percentage of RARX (with respect to total, w/w) will be 1.9%.[2]

[2] For example, if the mixture weights about 2086 kg, then the bitumen added could weight about 91kg, the RARX could weight about 40Kg, and the mineral aggregates added about 1955kg. Note that the "bitumen added" above does not include the bitumen contained into the RARX and that the mineral aggregates added above do not include the filler contained into the RARX.

2.6.2 Bituminous mixture acceptance

The contractor is going to submit to the client the final mixture composition based on the studies carried out (for approval).

2.6.3 Mixture production and on-site procedures

Mixture production will be carried out according to procedures and in plants approved by the client [3]. Mixture on-site construction will be carried out according to procedures and through machines and devices approved by the client. In more detail, also the characteristics of the emulsified bitumen will be proposed by the contractor for client approval.

2.6.4 Mixture laydown and controls

On-site construction, including machines (and their characteristics), joints, procedures, materials, timing, temperatures, will be detailed by the contractor and submitted to the client for approval. The client will carry out controls and will apply pay adjustments based on acceptance procedures. On site density, in 95 cases our of 100, must be higher than the 95% of in-lab density (DG or DM).

2.6.5 Controls

Controls will include also:

Layer thickness

Skid Tester (CNR 105/85) BPN (British Pendulum Number) ≥ 60.

Macrotexture (HS) CNR 94/83 ≥ tba

CPX, as per project and as per client requirements

Sampling frequency for controls will be submitted by the contractor to the client for approval.

2.7 B1 Annex second internal report (see B1 for B3) – B15-based

This part is the output of B15.

[3] The following tentative values and procedures are given.
Order of introduction (carried out in the lab): mineral aggregates (hot) - RARX (ambient temperature) - bitumen (hot) – filler.
Temperature during mixing (in the lab): about 175°C.
Temperature during compaction (in the lab): about 160°C.
Admissible time between mixture production and on-site laying: approximately less than two hours under given assumptions and conditions (insulated truck beds, tarps covering the load, and many other boundary conditions).
Minimal temperature during laying process: about 125-140°C.
Compactor suggested: <10 tons, static mode.

2.7.1 Project requirements

The main characteristics stated in the project are as follows.

- *ACTION B.1:* Tracks design. **Deliverables**: **UNIRC delivers two internal reports**, whose contents are below detailed. **Scheduling**: This action i) benefits from actions A1, A2, A3; ii) gives the instructions for B2 prototype; iii) uses B2 results; iv) gives the instructions for the pilot area in Florence (B3). Consequently, it basically starts after the actions Ai and ends before B3.
    - **B1.1 Data gathering** (from A1, A2, A3 and B2.1).
    - **B1.2 Preliminary design of the mixtures** (Before B2). At the end of B1.2, UNIRC delivers the **first internal report**.[4] B1.2 gives the required pieces of information for B2. By means of B1.1 and B1.2, B2.2 to B2.4 are carried out and led by IFSTTAR.
    - **B1.3 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to Nantes prototype** (during and after B2).
    - **B.1.4 Data gathering from IFSTTAR that refer to IPOOL tests** (during and after B2). The **Sub-action B.1.4 deals with data gathering from IPOOL** (during and after B4). **These data will be useful in the pursuit of better carrying out the final design of the mixtures to be used in Florence-Via Paisiello**.
    - **B1.5 Final design and support to track construction** (during and after B2, and before B3). At the end of B1.5, the **second internal report**[5] is delivered. By means of B1.5, B3 is carried out and led by FI. As a consequence of the sub-actions B1.3 and B1.4, UNIRC has the needed "response" data and is able to carry out the final design of the mixtures. **The Sub-action B1.5 addresses the final design and support in the pursuit of track construction** (B3). At the end of this activity, the **second internal report is delivered** to FI. As a result, in B3, Fi is going to take care of bid-related documents (technical and administrative), work management, and related managers and procedures according to the Italian laws. it is noted that UNIRC, besides the data gathered B.3 and B1.4, needs also updated data from FI. To this end, **FI provides UNIRC with the updated characteristics of the selected road** (Via Paisiello, Firenze): traffic data for the selected road, geometric data, lane and shoulder widths, etc.). To this end, it is noted that the selection of the tracks in Florence has been carried out in order to better achieve the primary objectives of the project. To this end, note that the following factors are going to affect the final results in terms of performance and perceived noise: 1) modification of the traffic spectrum and loads due to the increase in EVs. An increase of 15-35% of weight is expected; 2) changes in terms of driving force-to-speed diagram, accelerations and, probably, speeds due to the same reasons above (an increase of accelerations is expected); 3) changes in terms of shear stresses, tyre wear, pavement wear (an increase of surface texture wear and tyre wear is expected); 4) consequent change of pavement (and particularly, friction course) expected life.
- **B3: Pilot area: Implementation. Replication and transferability** (B1 based). Tracks: 1) REFERENCE TRACK, about 150 m long, complying with the "core" criterion for low-noise pavement of the EU GPP Criteria for Road Design, Construction and Maintenance, 2016 (EUGPPC), i.e., LCPX<90 dB(A) at 50 kph; 2) surface-OPTIMIZED track, about 150 m long, crumb rubber added, complying with the "comprehensive" criterion of the EUGPPC above, i.e., LCPX<87 dB(A) at 50 kph. B3 focuses on implementation area, based on actual noise pollution, the number of vehicles, speed and other parameters to maximize mitigation efficiency. Tracks will be constructed one next to the other to improve testing efficiency. B3 targets obj.1 of Reducing noise in populated urban areas. [FI].

In other terms, the following schematic is foreseen.

[4] This report has been delivered in July, 2020 to IFSTTAR-Eiffel.
[5] This report is the object of this document.



Figure 20. External sources of information of UNIRC B1.5 second internal report

2.7.1.1 Introduction

IFSTTAR- Universitè de Gustave Eiffel provided UNIRC with the results of the construction phase held in Nantes for CR-added mixtures[6] and for mixtures without CR[7]. The results would appear quite encouraging especially in terms of noise reduction.

IPOOL and FI information related to their activities was not received at the moment (November 2020-April 2021).

This notwithstanding, FI has requested to start the bid process in the pursuit of speed up the overall process (Action B3).

Mixtures were designed at UNIRC and they were partly validated through in-lab experiments (Action B1). Furthermore, they were partly validated in Nantes (Action B2).

Based on results, two bituminous mixtures will be used in Florence, Via Paisiello.

---

[6] Rapport de prestation- D44.20.REZE.056- Prototype piste - Université EIFFEL - 08/09/2020 – Bouguenais. Macrotexture: PMT moyenne : 0,42mm. P6.3mm=99%. 0.063/2:41%. Bitume d'apport 50/70: 6,10%. Bitume total: 6,40% RARX: 1,9%. Mesures SRT – Feuilles de notes Date: 18/09/2020 - Opérateur: PA / MTD: PTV=82,47. Valeurs issues des manips EASE (PA – AG) PMT: 0.30-0.32mm.

[7] Rapport de prestation - D44.20. REZE.056-Prototype piste - Université EIFFEL-08/09/2020. P6.3mm=99%. 0.063/2= 42%. Bitume total=Bitume d'apport: 6,40%. RARX=0%. PMT moyenne : 0,51mm. Mesures SRT – Feuilles de notes Date: 18/09/2020 - Opérateur : PA / MTD: PTV= 70,37. Valeurs issues des manips EASE (PA – AG) PMT: 0.39-0.42mm



Figure 21. Via Paisiello - Florence

The main characteristics of the mixtures to use are summarised in Table 17:

	Where: Florence, Via Paisello. Width of about 11m.	
	Section 1(L=150m)	Section 2 (L=150m)
Type of treatment (friction course):	REF (Reference mixture for Florence streets)	PCR*

Thickness of the treatment once compacted	0.03m=3cm	0.025m=2.5cm.
Notes	The following document was received: Global Service della Rete Stradale del Comune di Firenze Norme Tecniche di Esecuzione	Scheduled type of crumb rubber: RARX Possible strategy in terms of construction (upon FI decision): milling: 0.085m+ tack coat + binder course laydown (0.06m, modified bitumen) + tack coat + PCR laydown (gradation close to 0/6mm, modified bitumen).
Reference	Annex 1 - Global Service della Rete Stradale del Comune di Firenze Norme Tecniche di Esecuzione. Livello di traffico: P (tbp[8])	Annex 2

Table 17. Mixtures for Via Paisiello- Florence

Nota Bene. Gradation and bitumen percentage shown below could undergo variations based on Florence municipality decisions and based on further results expected.

Further details are provided in the annexes.

2.7.2 Annex 1 - Bituminous mixture type 1 (without crumb rubber, REF)

See Global Service della Rete Stradale del Comune di Firenze Norme Tecniche di Esecuzione.

2.7.3 Annex 2 - Bituminous mixture type 2 (with crumb rubber, PCR*)

For the type of bitumen, coarse aggregate, fine aggregate, filler, c.f. Tables 9-12.

Before on-site construction works, the contractor must produce aggregate qualification certificates complying with requirements.

This mixture is going to include mineral aggregates, crumb rubber (dry method) and bitumen.

The specifications for the gradation (mineral aggregates) are given below.

Sieve openings, mm	Min	Max
14	100	100
8	99	100
5.6	75	97
4	58	92
2	26	61
1	20	45
0.5	15	28
0.25	9	18
0.063	6	11
Bitumen percentage, % (w/w)	5.2	6.1
RARX percentage, %, (w/w)	1.8	2.0

Table 18. Aggregate gradation and bitumen percentage

[8] Tbp= to be provided.

Nota Bene. Gradation and bitumen percentage shown could undergo variations based on Florence municipality decisions and based on further results that are expected.

Bitumen percentage is given below as a function of **total mixture weight (i.e., mineral aggregates + bitumen + CR)**.

Crumb rubber type: RARX

Note. The percentage of RARX (with respect to total, w/w) will be 1.9%.[9]

2.7.3.1 Bituminous mixture

Bitumen percentage is going to be assessed based on the study of bituminous mixture. Volumetric method or Marshall method may be used.

The thickness of the compacted mixture will be about 0.025m. Requirements are given in the table below.

The selected mixture should be tested (at 98% of D_G) foe modulus or similar properties for better on-site quality control and assurance.

Test parameters	*Unit of measure*	*Thresholds*
Rotation Angle		1.25° ± 0.02
Rotation speed	r/min	30
Vertical pressure	KPa	600
Sample diameter	mm	Tbp
To be Provided (tbp)	**%**	**Tbp**
To be Provided	**%**	**Tbp**
To be Provided	**%**	**Tbp**
Indirect tensile strength at 25°C (@120 gyrations)[10] (*EN 12697-23*)	N/mm^2	> 0,4
Coefficient of indirect tensile strength[2] at 25 °C (@120 gyrations)	N/mm^2	> 30
Loss of indirect tensile strength at 25°C after 15 days of water immersion	%	≤ 25

Table 19. Gyratory compaction and design

[9] For example, if the mixture weights about 2086 kg, then the bitumen added could weight about 91kg, the RARX could weight about 40Kg, and the mineral aggregates added about 1955kg. Note that the “bitumen added” above does not include the bitumen contained into the RARX and that the mineral aggregates added above do not include the filler contained into the RARX.

[10] Coefficient if indirect tensile strength, CTI Coefficient if indirect tensile strength, CTI. CTI = $\pi/2$ DRt/Dc where D = sample diameter, mm Dc = deformation at the breaking point Rt = resistance.

Test parameters	*Unit of measure*	*Thresholds*
Compaction	50-75 drops per face (tbp)	
Marshall stability	KN	>5
Marshall stiffness	KN/mm	> 2,0
Residual voids	%	tbp
Loss of Marshall stability after 15 days of water immersion	%	≤ 25
Indirect tensile strength at 25°C (*EN 12697-23*)	N/mm^2	> 0,4
Coefficient of indirect tensile strength[2] at 25 °C	N/mm^2	> 30

Table 20. Marshall compaction and design

Sample density (or specific gravity, Gmb) must be determined according to Corelok method or according to paraffin-coated method.

2.7.3.2 Bituminous mixture acceptance

The contractor is going to submit to the client the final mixture composition based on the studies carried out (for approval).

2.7.3.3 Mixture production and on-site procedures

Mixture production will be carried out according to procedures and in plants approved by the client. Mixture on-site construction will be carried out according to procedures and through machines and devices approved by the client. In more detail, also the characteristics of the emulsified bitumen will be proposed by the contractor for client approval.

2.7.3.4 Mixture laydown and controls

On-site construction, including machines (and their characteristics), joints, procedures, materials, timing, temperatures, will be detailed by the contractor and submitted to the client for approval. The client will carry out controls and will apply pay adjustments based on acceptance procedures. On site density, in 95 cases out of 100, must be higher than the 95% of in-lab density (DG or DM).

2.7.3.5 Controls

Controls will include also:

Layer thickness

Skid Tester (CNR 105/85) BPN (British Pendulum Number) ≥ 60.

Macrotexture (HS) CNR 94/83 ≥ tbp

CPX, as per project and as per client requirements

Sampling frequency for controls will be submitted by the contractor to the client for approval.

2.7.4 Final note

This document illustrates the guidelines to follow to produce and laydown the mixtures. FI highlights that due to the need for receiving the needed information above (tests still to perform) revisions could be needed.

3 B1 References

Capitolato CIRS. Capitolati ANAS.

STP 204-19-Standard Test Section: ASPHALT MIXES - Procedures Manual Subject: ASPHALT FILM THICKNESS DETERMINATION

CAPITOLATO SPECIALE D'APPALTO - Norme Tecniche - ANAS S.p.A.- CAPITOLATO SPECIALE DI APPALTO - Parte 2ª Norme tecniche - Pavimentazioni stradali/autostradali

Massimo Losa, Pietro Leandri, Mauro Cerchiai , Improvement of Pavement Sustainability by the Use of Crumb Rubber Modified Asphalt Concrete for Wearing Courses, Article in International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology · November 2012.

WISCONSIN ASPHALT PAVEMENT ASSOCIATION, TECHNICAL BULLETIN, Porous Asphalt Pavements.

IPOOL, Campagna di indagine fonometrica "Ante Operam" per il progetto RUMBLE-Relazione.

Jørgen Kragh, Lykke Møller Iversen and Ulf Sandberg, VEJDIREKTORATET,RAPPORT 506, 2013 , NORDTEX FINAL REPORT -ROAD SURFACE TEXTURE FOR LOW NOISE AND LOW ROLLING RESISTANCE.

Jørgen Kragh, Jens Oddershede, Rasmus Holck Stahlfest Skov, Hans Bendtsen, Report number 2018-1, EAN number 9788793394704, **NordTyre - Tyre labelling and Nordic traffic noise – Analysis of data on passenger car tyres, 2014, 2015.**

Kragh, J., Bendtsen, H, Hildebrand, G., Noise classification for tendering quiet asphalt wearing courses, Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 48 (2012) 570 – 579, 1877-0428 © 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and/or peer review under responsibility of the Programme Committee of the Transport Research, Arena 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.1035.

Raden Hendra Ariyapijati, Sigit Pranowo Hadiwardoyo, and R. Jachrizal Sumabrata, Contributions crumb rubber in hot mix asphalt to the resilient modulus, AIP Conference Proceedings 1855, 030005 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4985475, Published Online: 15 June 2017.

Del Pizzo, A., Teti, L., Moro, A., Bianco, F., Fredianelli, L., Licitra, G., Influence of texture on tyre road noise spectra in rubberized pavements (2020) Applied Acoustics, 159, art. no. 107080 DOI: 10.1016/j.apacoust.2019.107080.

Praticò, F.G., Briante, P.G. Prediction of surface texture for better performance of friction courses (2020) Construction and Building Materials, 230, art. no. 116991, DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.116991.

Kragh, L.M. Iversen, U. Sandberg, Nordtex Final Report Road Surface Texture for Low Noise and Low Rolling Resistance, 2013.

P. Leandri, M. Losa, P. Rocchio, New low noise pavement surfaces by the use of crumb rubber, in: Euronise 2018, Crete, 2018, pp. 2679–2686.

D. Siebert, How wear affects road surface texture and its impact on tire/road noise texture, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 2017.

Doreen Fritzsche, Svein Å. Storeheier, Helge Mork, the effect of asphalt mixture materials on changes of the road surface texture, Proceedings of 41st International Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering 2012 (INTER-NOISE 2012). Institute of Noise Control Engineering (INCE). ISBN 978-1-62748-560-9

CRS requirements